PATENT Conf. No. 4778

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

William R. Dunn, et al.

Examiner:

Tarifur R. Chowdhury

Serial No.

10/679,977

Group Art Unit:

2871

Filed:

October 7, 2003

Docket No.

73538.020500

Title:

FLAT PANEL DISPLAY HAVING AN ISOLATED EMI LAYER AND

INTEGRAL HEATER AND THERMAL SENSORS

Customer No.: 33717

#### CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d)

I hereby certify that this correspondence and identified enclosures are being transmitted via facsimile only to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Facsimile No. (571) 273-0052 on June 5, 2006.

SECOND PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIE

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JUN - **5** 2006

U.S. PATENT AND THADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

### Sir/Madam:

Transmitted along with this SECOND PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW herewith are a true copy of the PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW and REASONS FOR REQUEST FOR PANEL REVIEW that were filed on February 22, 2006 in the above captioned application. The Request was transmitted with the Notice of Appeal filed on February 22, 2006. These documents are entered into PAIR as a "Transmittal Letter" received on the same date with the Notice of Appeal, February 22, 2006.

06-05-06

Serial No. 10/679,977

02:47pm

PATENT Docket No. 73538.020500

Please conduct the Pre-appeal brief conference review as requested with the Notice of Appeal filed on February 22, 2006. No additional fee is believed to be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 5, 2006

John R. Wahl Reg. No. 33,044

Customer Number 33717 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E Santa Monica, CA 90404 Phone: (310) 586-6541

Fax: (310) 586-0541 E-mail: wahlj@gtlaw.com

LA-FS1\410120v01

Total of 2

forms are submitted.

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05) Approved for use through xxxx200x, OMB 0651-005 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number (Optional) 2ND PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 73538-020500 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Application Number Filed United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail 10/679,977 October 7, 2003 in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF. Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] June 5,2006 First Named Inventor William R. Dunn, et al. Art Unit Examiner 2871 Tarifur R. Chowdhury Typed or printed Shaula Stephenson name Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. RECEIVED This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. JUN - 5 2006 U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s) AND INTERFERENCES Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. Signature assignee of record of the entire interest, See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. John R. Wahl (Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name X attorney or agent of record. (310) 586-6541 33,044 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. June 5, 2006 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.54 Date NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire Interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below\*. X

This collection of Information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including galacting, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burdon, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0531-0031 U.S. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1895, no persons are required to re	espond to a collection	of information unless i	displays a valid OMB control number.
NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES		Docket Number (Optional)	
		73538-020502	
to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to		William R. Dunn, et al.	
"Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-	Application N		Filed
1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] February 2 2006— 10/679,977			October 7, 2003
FOR FLAT PANEL DISPLAY HAVING AN ISOLATED EN			AVING AN ISOLATED EMI LAYER
		AND INTEGRAL HEATER AND THERMAL SENSORS	
Typed or printed			
name Shaula Stephenson	2871	١٥	Seorge V. Wang
Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences from the last decision of the examiner.			
The fee for this Notice of Appeal Is (37 CFR 41.20(b)(1))	s 500		
(	\$ <del>3000</del>		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Therefore, the fee shown above is reduced by half, and the resulting fee is:			
A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed.	A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed.		RECEIVED
Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.	Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.		
The Director has already been authorized to charge fees in this application to a Deposit Account I have enclosed a duplicate copy of this sheet.  JUN - 5 2006			
The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpaints. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE to Deposit Account No. 50-2638. I have enclosed a duplicate copy of this sheet. BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS			
A petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (PTO/SB/22) is enclosed.  AND INTERFERENCES  AND INTERFERENCES			
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.			
I am the			
applicant/Inventor.			hatall
		S	ignature /
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.		John R	Wahl
(Form PTO/SB/96)			r printed hame
attomey or agent of record.		••	
Registration number33,044		(310) 586-6541	
		Teleph	none number
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1,34.  Registration number If acting under 37 CFR 1,34.	Fohnue 22 2020		
		February 22, 2006 Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.			
Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.			
Total of 2 forms are submitted.			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 41.31. The information is required to obtain or retain a bonefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.8. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be zern to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

\*Total of 2

forms are submitted.

06-05-06

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-Q5) Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0851-00xx U.S. Petent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Docket Number (Optional) PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW 73538-020502 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the Application Number Filed United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail 10/679,977 October 7, 2003 in an envelope addressed to 'Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] February 22, 2006 First Named Inventor William R. Dunn, et al. Signature Art Unit Examiner 2871 George V. Wang Typed or printed Shaula Stephenson Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. RECEIVED This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. JUN - 5 2006 U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). AND INTERFERENCES Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided. I am the applicant/inventor. Signature assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. <u>John R. Wahl</u> (Form PTO/SB/96) Typed or printed name attorney or agent of record. (310) 586-6541 33,044 Registration number Telephone number attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. February 22, 2006 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 .. NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO This collection. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is online (and by the USP10 to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is ostimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PATENT Conf. No. 4778

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

William R. Dunn, et al.

Examiner:

George V. Wang

Serial No.

10/679,977

Group Art Unit:

2871

Filed:

October 7, 2003

Docket No.

73538.020500

Title:

FLAT PANEL DISPLAY HAVING AN ISOLATED EMI LAYER AND

INTEGRAL HEATER AND THERMAL SENSORS

Customer No.: 33717

### CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d)

I hereby certify that this correspondence and identified enclosures are being transmitted via facsimile only to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, Facsimile No. (571) 273-0052 on February 22, 2006.

REASONS FOR REQUEST FOR PANEL REVIEW

RECEIVED

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JUN - 5 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Sir/Madam:

Pre-appeal brief conserence review is appropriate when there are clear errors in the Examiner's review and/or the Examiner has omitted one or more essential elements needed for a prima facie rejection. Applicants believe that at least one of these conditions is present here.

Claims 2, 4-10, 12-14 and 16-20 are pending in the application, claims 1, 3, 11 and 15 having been cancelled during prosecution. Claim 12 is the sole independent claim in this application.

The Examiner rejected the single independent claim 12 as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,885,412 to Ohnishi, et al. (Ohnishi), fundamentally asserting that since Ohnishi discloses a flat panel display having a metal oxide heater layer, specifically "ITO." The Examiner stated that Serial No. 10/679,977

PATENT Docket No. 73538.020500

this disclosure broadly anticipates a flat panel display having a metal heater layer integral to the TFT array layer. Applicants assert that this is clear error by the Examiner.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner stated that Ohnishi, in Figure 3, ref. 8, shows "a metal layer integral to the TFT array layer." O.A., page 3, lines 4-5. In response, Applicants pointed out that Figure 3, ref. 8 is a metal oxide heater film layer, specifically a ITO metal oxide layer, not a metal layer. Ohnishi col. 9, lines 7-13 states that the ref. 8 is a light-transmissive temperature application section made of a metal oxide. Response After Final, pages 1 and 2. Applicants further pointed out that the Examiner failed to provide any support his assertion in any way. Response After Final, page 2, lines 9-10.

In the Examiner's Advisory Action, he states:

"Applicant's argument is that metal is not the same as a metal oxide both 'chemically and electrically'. However true that may be, the fact that the claims are not clear in specifying or distinguishing a metal from a metal oxide layer makes it possible to reject the recited 'metal' layer with the 'metal oxide' layer of Ohnishi."

It is respectfully submitted that Applicants' claim language is quite clear and that the Examiner's interpretation is without foundation and is thus clearly in error. A metal oxide layer is simply not inclusive of a metal layer. The Examiner failed to provide any support for his asserted position that a metal oxide layer broadly encompasses a metal heater layer.

Although Applicants believed additional support for their position regarding the plain meaning of claim terms was unnecessary, Applicants further submitted a Supplemental Response, after receipt of the Advisory Action, that included three references from the Internet that demonstrated that the material referred to commercially as ITO, and referred to as such in Ohnishil, as indium in oxide is 91 mol% indium oxide (In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), with 9 mol% tin oxide (SnO<sub>2</sub>), also referred to as tin (IV) oxide, or 90 wt% indium oxide with 10 wt% tin oxide. One reference refers to this as "tin-doped indium oxide." Applicants further stated:

Serial No. 10/679,977

PATENT Docket No. 73538.020500

"Metals are not transparent, although metal oxides can be. Please refer to Principles of Materials Science and Engineering, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, by William F. Smith, page 837, a copy of which is also attached. Referring to 'metals,' Professor Smith states that 'except for very thin sections, metals strongly reflect and/or absorb incident radiation' and that 'this type of action results in strongly reflected beams of light from a smooth surface.' Thus, Applicants urge the Examiner to reconsider his rejection, based on the plain meaning of the term 'metal' to one of ordinary skill and its distinction from 'metal oxide.'"

The Examiner provides no support for his position interpreting "metal oxide" as encompassing "metal" in the Final Office Action and the Advisory Action, which is clearly contrary to common knowledge in the art.

The Examiner further rejected dependent claims 2, 4-9, and 16-17 under 35 USC 103 over Ohnishi in view of combinations of Shin, et al. and Muhlemann. Neither of these additional references disclose metal heater layers. Accordingly they, together with Ohnishi, in any combination, cannot anticipate Applicants' independent claim 12. For this reason the Examiner's rejections under both 35 USC 102 and 103 are improper and should be withdrawn. Claim 12 is clearly allowable over the cited prior art. As claims 2, 4-10, 13, 14 and 16-20 depend from claim 12 these claims are also clearly allowable and such action is earnestly solicited.

The rejection in the Final Office Action for double patenting was addressed in the Supplemental Response. A distinguishing amendment was filed in the copending application thus obviating the double patenting rejection.

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections in the Final Office Action and his position in the Advisory Action is clearly erroncous and that the application is in condition for allowance.

02:49pm From-Greenberg

Serial No. 10/679,977

06-05-06

PATENT Docket No. 73538.020500

The Director is authorized to charge \$500.00 in fees for the filing of a Notice of Appeal, as well as any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s), or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account Number 50-2638. Please ensure that Attorney Docket Number 73538.020500 is referred to when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Respectfully submitted

Date: February 22, 2006

Iohn R. Wahl Reg. No. 33,044

Customer Number 33717 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E Santa Monica, CA 90404

Phone: (310) 586-6541 Fax: (310) 586-0541 E-mail: wahlj@gtlaw.com

LA-FS1\389856v01